

Erratum

Predictors of Response to Monoamine Oxidase Inhibitors: do they Exist?

Jonathan R.T. Davidson¹, Earl L. Giller², Sidney Zisook³, and Michael J. Helms⁴

¹Duke University Medical Center, P. O. Box 3812, Durham, NC 27710, USA

²University of Connecticut, School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, 263 Farmington Avenue, Farmington, CT 06032, USA

³University of California at San Diego, 3427 4th Avenue, San Diego, CA 92103, USA

⁴Biometry and Medicine Informations Division, C & F Medicine, Duke University Medical Center, P. O. Box 2914, Durham, NC 27710, USA

Eur Arch Psychiatry Clin Neurosci (1992) 241:181–186

The authors regret two errors, that were overlooked during the preparation of the manuscript:

In the summary, lines 9–11 are incorrect and should read as follows:

“Non-reactivity was associated with positive outcome in the active drug group, but with negative outcome in the placebo group.”

The second point is a typographical mistake in Table 2, in which the Beta Coefficient of -0.742 for non-reactivity and the Final CGI should read “ $+0.742$ ”.